

## Response to Office Action Mailed May 4, 2005 PATENT 10/767,183

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE (LHTLG No. 03,139)

In re Applica	ation of:	)
	Robert E. Mitchell	) Examiner: Firdie, Jr., Willimon
Serial No.	10/767,183	) Group Art Unit: 3722
Filed:	29-January-2004	)
For:	ADVERTISING MATERIALS AND METHOD FOR COOPERATIVE PROMOTIONS	) Confirmation No. 4646 )

Mail Stop: Responses Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313

### RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION MAILEDMay 5, 2005

Responsive to the Office Action mailedMay 5, 2005, Applicant submits the following Response.

#### RESPONSE

Claims 1-30 are pending in the application. Claims 1, 14, 16, 19 and 24 are in independent format.

#### **DOUBLE PATENTING REJECTION**

The Examiner rejected claims 1-30 under "the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,340,179 to Mitchell. Although the claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both sets disclose a method and apparatus for gaming and advertising using a break open window and other